# **OPERATIONS PRE-CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES**

D. Saravanakumar<sup>1</sup>, N. Kalaivani<sup>2</sup>, G. Sai Sundara Krishnan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kalasalingam Academic of Research and Education, Krishnankoil, **INDIA** <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vel Tech Dr. RR and Dr. SR Technical University, Chennai, **INDIA** <sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Computational Sciences, PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, **INDIA** 

E-mails: saravana\_13kumar@yahoo.co.in, kalaivani.rajam@gmail.com, g\_ssk@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define the operations mappings in topological spaces such as  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous,  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed and  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-homeomorphism and study some their basic properties.

**Keywords:**  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous,  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open,  $\beta^*$ -pre-open

AMS (2010) Subject Classifications: 54C05, 54C10 and 54D10.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Mashhour et al.[6] and Andrijevic[1, 2] introduced the concept of pre-open sets and semi-pre-open sets respectively. Kasahara[3] defined the concept of operations  $\alpha$  on topological spaces. Ogata[7, 8] called the operations  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha$ -closed set) as  $\gamma$ -operations (resp.  $\gamma$ -closed set) and introduced the notion of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  which is the collection of  $\gamma$ -open sets in topological spaces. Sai Sundara Krishnan and Balachandran[9] initiated the concept of  $\gamma$ -pre-open sets and studied the separation axioms using  $\gamma$ -pre-open sets. Further, they generated a topology  $\tau_{\gamma p}$  using  $\gamma$ -pre-open sets. Sai Sundara Krishnan et al.[10] obtained the concept of  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open sets and  $\gamma^*$ -semi-pre-open sets in topological spaces and investigated some basic properties. D. Saravanakumar et al.[11, 12] generated the idea of operations mappings in topological spaces.

<sup>30</sup> *Vol.4. No.2 (2018)* 

In this paper in section 3, we created the concept of operations approaches continuous mappings in topological spaces such as  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre- continuous. Also, we investigated some of their essential properties through the  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed and  $\gamma^*$ -pre-derived sets. In section 4, we obtained the idea of operations open, closed mappings such as  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed and studied some of their important properties. Moreover, we shows that every  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed image of  $\gamma^*$ -pg.closed set is  $\beta^*$ -pg.closed. In addition, we proved that every  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed inverse image of  $\beta^*$ -pg.closed set is  $\gamma^*$ -pg.closed.

#### PRELIMINARIES

An operation  $\gamma$ [3] on the topology  $\tau$  is a mapping from  $\tau$  into the power set P(X) of X such that  $V \subset V^{\gamma}$  for each  $V \in \tau$ , where  $V^{\gamma}$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at V. It is denoted by  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$ . A subset A of X is  $\gamma$ -open[7], if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open neighborhood U such that  $x \in U$  and  $U^{\gamma} \subseteq A$ . Its complement is called  $\gamma$ -closed and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  denotes set of all  $\gamma$ -open sets in X. For a subset A of X,  $\gamma$ interior[7] of A is  $int_{\gamma}(A) = \{x \in A : x \in N \in \tau \text{ and } N^{\gamma} \subseteq A \text{ for some } N\}$  and  $\gamma$ closure [7] of A is  $cl_{\nu}(A) = \{x \in X : x \in U \in \tau \text{ and } U^{\gamma} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } U\}$ . An operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau$  is regular [7], if for any open neighborhoods U, V of each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighborhood W of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma} \supseteq W^{\gamma}$ ; open[7], if for every neighborhood U of each  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set B such that  $x \in B$  and  $U^{\gamma}$  $\supseteq B$ . A space X is  $\gamma$ -regular[7], if for each  $x \in X$  and for each open neighborhood V of x, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \subset V$ . A subset A of X is  $\gamma^*$ -dense (resp.  $\gamma^*$ -nowhere dense,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open)[10], if  $cl_{\gamma}(A) = X$  (resp.  $int_{v}(cl_{v}(A)) = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subseteq int_{v}(cl_{v}(A))$ . The set of all  $\gamma^{*}$ -pre-open sets is denoted by  $PO_{y^*}(X)$ . A is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed[10] in X if and only if X - A is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in X. A is  $\gamma^*$ pre-clopen[10], if A is both  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open and  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in X. For a subset A of X,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-interior[10] of A is  $pint_{\gamma^*}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X) \text{ and } U \subseteq A\}$  and  $\gamma^*$ -preclosure [10] of A is  $pcl_{y^*}(A) = \bigcap \{F : X - F \in PO_{y^*}(X) \text{ and } A \subseteq F\}$ . A space X is  $\gamma^*$ submaximal [10], if every  $\gamma^*$ -dense set of X is  $\gamma$ -open in X. A subset A of X is  $\gamma^*$ *pg*.closed if  $pcl_{\gamma}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open. A space X is  $\gamma^*$ pre- $T_0[10]$  if for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a  $U \in PO_{v^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $y \in U$  and  $x \notin U$ . A space X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_1[10]$  if for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists  $U, V \in PO_{y^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U, x \notin V$  and  $y \in U$ V. A space X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_2[10]$  if for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists U, V  $\in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . A space X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\underline{1}}[10]$  if for each  $\gamma^*$ -pg.closed set of X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed. A mapping  $f : X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma, \beta)$ irresolute[5] if for any  $\beta$ -open set **B** of **Y**,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\gamma$ -open in **X**.

**Proposition 2.1.**[10] Every  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed set is  $\gamma^*$ -*pg*.closed set. But the converse need not be true.

Throughout this paper let X, Y and Z be three topological spaces and operations  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X), \beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  and  $\rho: \eta \to P(Z)$  on topologies  $\tau, \sigma$  and  $\eta$ respectively. Here  $PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$ ,  $PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$  and  $PO_{\rho^*}(Z)$  are denotes the family of  $\gamma^*$ -preopen sets,  $\beta^*$ -pre-open sets and  $\rho^*$ -pre-open sets respectively.

### $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -PRE-CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous (resp.  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous) if  $f^{-1}(0)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in X whenever O is  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta^*$ -pre-open) in Y.

**Remark 3.1.** (i) Every  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous mapping is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -precontinuous. But the converse need not be true.

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, Y = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{1, 1\}, \{1,$ 3} and define operations  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  by

 $\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{a,c\} \\ A & \text{if } A \neq \{a,c\} \end{cases} \text{ for every } A \in \tau \text{ and } \beta(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } 3 \in A \\ A \cup \{3\} & \text{if } 3 \notin A \end{cases} \text{ for every } A \in \tau \text{ and } \beta(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } 3 \in A \\ A \cup \{3\} & \text{if } 3 \notin A \end{cases}$ 

 $A \in \sigma$  respectively.

Define  $f: X \to Y$  by f(a) = 3, f(b) = 2 and f(c) = 1. Then f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -precontinuous. Also  $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = \{c\}$  is not  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in X for the  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set  $\{1\}$ of Y. Hence f is not  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous.

(ii) The concepts of  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous and  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute mappings are independent.

3}} and define operations  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  by  $\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{c\} \\ A & \text{if } A \neq \{c\} \end{cases}$  for every  $A \in \tau$  and  $\beta(A) = \begin{cases} cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{2\} \\ A & \text{if } A \neq \{2\} \end{cases}$  for every A

Define  $f: X \to Y$  by f(a) = 2, f(b) = 1 and f(c) = 3. Then f is  $(\gamma, \beta)$ irresolute. Also  $f^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{a\}$  is not  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in X for the  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set  $\{2\}$ of *Y*. Hence *f* is not  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous.

Also, consider  $X = \{a, b, c\}, Y = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ {1}, {2}, {1, 2}} and define operations  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  by

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } c \in A \\ A \cup \{c\} & \text{if } c \notin A \end{cases} \text{ for every } \boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \begin{cases} A \cup \{3\} & \text{if } A = \{1\} \\ A & \text{if } A \neq \{1\} \end{cases} \text{ for every } \boldsymbol{A}$ 

 $\in \sigma$  respectively.

Define  $f: X \to Y$  by f(a) = 1, f(b) = 3 and f(c) = 2. Then f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -precontinuous. But  $f^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{c\}$  is not  $\gamma$ -open in X for the  $\beta$ -open set  $\{2\}$  of Y. By Proposition 4.13[7], *f* is not  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute.

 $<sup>\</sup>in \sigma$  respectively.

<sup>32</sup> Vol.4. No.2 (2018)

(iii) Every  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute mapping is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous. But the converse need not be true.

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$  and define operations  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  by

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{a,b\} \text{ for every } \boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \begin{cases} cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{1,3\} \text{ for every } \boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\zeta} \\ cl(A) & \text{if } A \neq \{a,b\} \end{cases}$ 

*o* respectively.

Define  $f: X \to Y$  by f(a) = 1, f(b) = 2 and f(c) = 3. Then f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -precontinuous. Also  $f^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{b\}$  is not  $\gamma$ -open in X for the  $\beta$ -open set  $\{2\}$  of Y. Hence f is not  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute.

(iv) If *X*, *Y* are  $\gamma$ -regular space and  $\beta$ -regular space respectively, then the concepts of ( $\gamma^*$ ,  $\beta^*$ )-pre-continuous and pre-continuous mappings coincide.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) **f** is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous;

(ii) for each  $x \in X$  and each  $\beta$ -open set  $V \subseteq Y$  containing f(x), there exists  $W \in PO_{y^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in W$ ,  $f(W) \subseteq V$ ;

(iii) the inverse image of each  $\beta$ -closed set in Y is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in X.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$  and V be any  $\beta$ -open set of Y containing f(x). Set  $W = f^{-1}(V)$ , then by Definition 3.1, W is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set containing x and  $f(W) = f(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq V$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let *F* be a  $\beta$ -closed set of *Y*. Set V = Y - F, then *V* is  $\beta$ -open in *Y*. Let  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ , by (ii), there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set *W* of *X* containing *x* such that  $f(W) \subseteq V$ . Thus, we obtain that  $x \in W \subseteq int_{\gamma}(cl_{\gamma}(W)) \subseteq int_{\gamma}(cl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(V)))$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq int_{\gamma}(cl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(V)))$ . This shows that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in *X*. Hence  $f^{-1}(F) = X - f^{-1}(Y - F) = X - f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in *X*.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let *B* be a  $\beta$ -open set in *Y*. Then F = Y - B is  $\beta$ -closed in *Y*. By (iii),  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in *X*. Hence  $f^{-1}(B) = X - f^{-1}(Y - B) = X - f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in *X*.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  be an open operation on  $\sigma$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous; (ii)  $cl_{\gamma}(int_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_{\beta}(B))$  for each  $B \subseteq Y$ ; (iii)  $f(cl_{\gamma}(int_{\gamma}(A))) \subseteq cl_{\beta}(f(A))$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1(iii).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -irresolute mapping and  $\beta: \sigma \to P(Y)$  be an open operation on  $\sigma$ . Then (i)  $f(cl_{\gamma}(U)) \subseteq cl_{\beta}(f(U))$  for each  $\gamma$ -open set U in X;

(ii)  $cl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_{\beta}(V))$  for each  $\beta$ -open set V in Y.

**Proof.** Follows from the Remark 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is a  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous mapping and  $X_0$  is a  $\gamma$ open subset of X, then the restriction  $f|X_0: X_0 \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous,
where  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  is a regular operation on  $\tau$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the Definition 3.1 and Theorem 2.5[10].

**Theorem 3.5.** Let *X* be a topological space,  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  be a regular operation on  $\tau$  and  $\{V_k : k \in J\}$  a cover of *X* by  $\gamma$ -open sets of *X*. A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous if and only if the restriction  $f|_{V_k}: V_k \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta)$ -pre-continuous for each  $k \in J$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the Theorems 2.1[10] and 3.4.

**Definition 3.2.** (i) Let *X* be a topological space and  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  be an operation on  $\tau$ . A subset *A* of a space *X* is said to be a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  if there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set *U* such that  $x \in U \subseteq A$ .

Note that  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x may be replaced by  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open neighborhood of x.

(ii) Let *X* be a space.  $A \subseteq X$  and  $p \in X$ . Then *p* is called a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-limit point of *A* if  $U \cap (A - \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set *U* containing *p*. The set of all  $\gamma^*$ -pre-limit points of *A* is called a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-derived set of *A* and is denoted by  $pd_{\gamma^*}(A)$ . Clearly if  $A \subseteq B$  then  $pd_{\gamma^*}(A) \subseteq pd_{\gamma^*}(B)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** From the Definition 3.2(ii), it follows that p is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-limit point of A if and only if  $p \in pcl_{\gamma^*}(A - \{p\})$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** For any *A*,  $B \subseteq X$ , the  $\gamma^*$ -pre-derived sets have the following properties:

(i)  $pcl_{\gamma^*}(A) \supseteq A \cup pd_{\gamma^*}(A);$ (ii)  $\cup_i pd_{\gamma^*}(A_i) = pd_{\gamma^*}(\cup_i A_i);$ (iii)  $pd_{\gamma^*}(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pd_{\gamma^*}(A);$ (iv)  $pcl_{\gamma^*}(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) = pd_{\gamma^*}(A).$ 

**Proof.** Follows from the Definition 3.2(ii) and Remark 3.2.

<sup>34</sup> Vol.4. No.2 (2018)

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous;

(ii) for each x in X, the inverse of every  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x) is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x;

(iii) for each point x in X and each  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood B of f(x), there is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood A of x such that  $f(A) \subseteq B$ ;

(iv) for each  $x \in X$  and each  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set B of f(x), there is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set A of x such that  $f(A) \subseteq$ 

Β;

(v)  $f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A))$  holds for every subset A of X;

(vi) for any  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed set *H* of *Y*,  $f^{-1}(H)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in *X*.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$  and B be a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). By Definition 3.2(i), there exists  $V \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in V \subseteq B$ . This implies that  $x \in f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$ . Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous, so  $f^{-1}(V) \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $B \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$ . Put  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Let  $x \in A$ . Then  $f(x) \in B$ . Clearly, B (being  $\beta^*$ -pre-open) is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). By (ii),  $A = f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\gamma^*$  pre-neighborhood of x. Hence by Definition 3.2(i), there exists  $A_x \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in A_x \subseteq A$ . This implies that  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} A_x$ . By Theorem 2.1[10], A is  $\gamma^*$ -preopen in X. Therefore f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $x \in X$  and B be a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). Then, there exists  $O_{f(x)} \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in O_{f(x)} \subseteq B$ . It follows that  $x \in f^{-1}(O_{f(x)}) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$ . By (i),  $f^{-1}(O_{f(x)}) \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$ . Let  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Then it follows that A is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x and  $f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $U \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$ . Take  $W = f^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $x \in W$ . Then  $f(x) \in U$ . Thus U is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). By (iii), there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -preneighborhood  $V_x$  of x such that  $f(V_x) \subseteq U$ . Thus it follows that  $x \in V_x \subseteq f^{-1}(f(V_x))$  $\subseteq f^{-1}(U) = W$ . Since  $V_x$  is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x, which implies that there exists a  $W_x \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in W_x \subseteq W$ . This implies that  $W = \bigcup_{x \in W} W_x$ . By Theorem 2.1[10], W is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in X. Thus f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). We may replaced the  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x as  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open neighborhood of x in condition (iii). Straightforward.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Let  $y \in f(pcl_{\gamma} \cdot (A))$  and V be any  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set containing y. Then, there exists a point  $x \in X$  and a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set U such that  $x \in U$  with f(x) = y and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . Since  $x \in pcl_{\gamma} \cdot (A)$ , we have that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $\emptyset \neq f(U \cap A) \subseteq f(U) \cap f(A) \subseteq V \cap f(A)$ . This implies that  $y \in pcl_{\beta} \cdot (f(A))$ . Therefore, we have that  $f(pcl_{\gamma} \cdot (A)) \subseteq pcl_{\beta} \cdot (f(A))$ .

 $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$ . Let H be a  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed set in Y. Then  $pcl_{\beta^*}(H) = H$ . By (v),  $f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(H))) \subseteq pcl_{\beta^*}(f(f^{-1}(H))) \subseteq pcl_{\beta^*}(H) = H$  holds. Therefore

 $pcl_{\gamma} \cdot (f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq f^{-1}(H)$  and thus  $f^{-1}(H) = pcl_{\gamma} \cdot (f^{-1}(H))$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(H)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in X.

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let *B* be a  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set in *Y*. We take H = Y - B. Then *H* is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed in *Y*. By (iv),  $f^{-1}(H)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in *X*. Hence  $f^{-1}(B) = X - f^{-1}(Y - B) = X - f^{-1}(H)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in *X*.

**Theorem 3.8.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous if and only if  $f(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ , for all  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous. Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in pd_{\gamma^*}(A)$ . Assume that  $f(x) \notin f(A)$  and let V denote a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous, so by Theorem 3.7(iii), there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -preneighborhood U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . From  $x \in pd_{\gamma^*}(A)$ , it follows that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  there exists, therefore, at least one element  $a \in U \cap A$  such that  $f(a) \in f(A)$  and  $f(a) \in V$ . Since  $f(x) \notin f(A)$ , we have that  $f(a) \neq f(x)$ . Thus every  $\beta^*$ -preneighborhood of f(x) contains an element f(a) of f(A) different from f(x). Consequently,  $f(x) \in pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . Conversely, suppose that f is not  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -precontinuous. Then by Theorem 3.7(iii), there exists  $x \in X$  and a  $\beta^*$ -preneighborhood V of f(x) such that every  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood U of x contains at least one element  $a \in U$  for which  $f(a) \notin V$ . Put  $A = \{a \in X : f(a) \notin V\}$ . Since  $f(x) \in V$ , therefore  $x \notin A$  and hence  $f(x) \notin f(A)$ . Since  $f(A) \cap (V - \{f(x)\}) = \emptyset$ , therefore  $f(x) \notin pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . It follows that  $f(x) \in f(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) - (f(A) \cup pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction to the given condition.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be one-to-one mapping. Then f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -precontinuous if and only if  $f(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ , for all  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq X, x \in pd_{\gamma^*}(A)$  and V be a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous, then by Theorem 3.7(iii), there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . But  $x \in pd_{\gamma^*}(A)$  gives there exists an element  $a \in U \cap A$  such that  $a \neq x$ . Clearly  $f(a) \in f(A)$  and since f is one-to-one,  $f(a) \neq f(x)$ . Thus every  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood V of f(x) contains an element f(a) of f(A) different from f(x). Consequently,  $f(x) \in pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . Therefore,  $f(pd_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pd_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . Converse follows from the Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous and injective. If Y is  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_2$  (resp.  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_1$ ), then X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_2$  (resp.  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_1$ ).

**Proof.** Suppose *Y* is  $\beta^*$ -pre-*T*<sub>2</sub>. Let *x* and *y* be two distinct points of *X*. Then, there exists two  $\beta^*$ -pre-open sets *U* and *V* such that  $f(x) \in U$ ,  $f(y) \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since *f* is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous, for *U* and *V*, there exists two  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open sets *W* and *S* such that  $x \in W$  and  $y \in S$ ,  $f(W) \subseteq U$  and  $f(S) \subseteq V$ , implies that

 $W \cap S = \emptyset$ . Hence X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_2$ . In similar way one can prove that X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_1$  whenever Y is  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_1$ .

## $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -PRE-OPEN MAPPINGS

**Definition 4.1.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open (resp.  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed) if f(O') is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open (resp.  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed,  $\beta^*$ -pre-open,  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed) in Y whenever O' is  $\gamma$ -open (resp.  $\gamma$ -closed,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open,  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed) in X.

**Remark 4.1.** (i) Every  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open(closed) mapping is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open(closed). But the converse need not be true.

Note that if  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open(closed) and  $g: Y \to Z$  is  $(\beta^*, \rho^*)$ -pre-open(closed), then the composition  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is a  $(\gamma^*, \rho^*)$ -pre-open(closed) mapping.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open;

(ii) for each  $x \in X$  and each  $\gamma$ -neighborhood U of x, there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set V of Y such that  $f(x) \in V \subseteq f(U)$ ;

(iii) for each subset  $W \subseteq Y$  and each  $\gamma$ -closed set F of X containing  $f^{-1}(W)$ , there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed set H of Y such that  $W \subseteq H$  and  $f^{-1}(H) \subseteq F$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that f is a  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open mapping. For each  $x \in X$  and each  $\gamma$ -neighborhood U of x, there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set  $U_0$  such that  $x \in U_0 \subseteq U$ . Since f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open,  $V = f(U_0)$  is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open and  $f(x) \in V \subseteq f(U)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let U be a  $\gamma$ -open set of X. For each  $x \in U$ , there exists a  $\beta^*$ -preopen set  $V_{f(x)}$  such that  $f(x) \in V_{f(x)} \subseteq f(U)$ . Therefore,  $f(U) = \bigcup \{V_{f(x)} : x \in U\}$  and hence by Theorem 2.1[10], f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open. This shows that f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -preopen.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let H = Y - f(X - F). Since  $f^{-1}(W) \subseteq F$ ,  $f(X - F) \subseteq Y - W$ . Since f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open, then H is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed and  $f^{-1}(H) = X - f^{-1}(f(X - F)) \subseteq X - (X - F) = F$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let U be any  $\gamma$ -open set of X and W = Y - f(U). Then  $f^{-1}(W) = X - f^{-1}(f(U)) \subseteq X - U$  and X - U is  $\gamma$ -closed. By (iii), there exists a  $\beta^*$ -preclosed set H of Y containing W such that  $f^{-1}(H) \subseteq X - U$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(H) \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $H \cap f(U) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $Y - f(U) \supseteq H \supseteq W = Y - f(U)$  and f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -preopen in Y. This shows that f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open.

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open mapping and  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  is an open operation on  $\tau$ . Then the following properties hold:

(i)  $f^{-1}(cl_{\beta}(int_{\beta}(B))) \subseteq cl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(B))$  for each set  $B \subseteq Y$ ; (ii)  $f^{-1}(cl_{\beta}(V)) \subseteq cl_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(V))$  for each  $\beta$ -open set V of Y.

**Proof.** Follows from the Theorem 4.1(iii).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping and  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  be an open operation on  $\tau$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open; (ii)  $f(int_{\gamma}(A)) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A))$  for  $A \subseteq X$ ; (iii)  $int_{\gamma}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(B))$  for  $B \subseteq Y$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward from the Definition 4.1.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a bijective mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  is  $(\beta^*, \gamma)$ -pre-continuous; (ii) f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-open; (iii) f is  $(\gamma, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed.

**Proof.** Follows from the Definitions 3.1. and 4.1.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open;

(ii) for each  $x \in X$  and for every  $A \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in A$ , there exists  $B \in PO_{B^*}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in P$ 

**B** and  $B \subseteq f(A)$ ;

(iii) for each  $x \in X$  and for every  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood U of x in X, there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood V of f(x) in Y such that  $V \subseteq f(U)$ ;

(iv)  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ , for all  $A \subseteq X$ ; (v)  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(B))$ , for all  $B \subseteq Y$ ; (vi)  $f^{-1}(pcl_{\beta^*}(B)) \subset pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B))$ , for all  $B \subset Y$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let *A* be a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set of *x* in *X*. Then  $f(x) \in f(A)$ . Since *f* is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open, f(A) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open neighborhood of f(x) in *Y*. Then by Definition 3.2(i), there exists  $B \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in B \subseteq f(A)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $A \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  and  $x \in A$ . Then by assumption, there exists  $B \in PO_{\beta^*}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in B \subseteq f(A)$ . Therefore f(A) is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x) in Y and this implies that  $f(A) = \bigcup_{f(x) \in f(A)} B$ . Then by Theorem 2.1[10], f(A) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open in Y. Hence f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let U be a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of  $x \in X$ . Then by Definition 3.2(i), there exists a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set W such that  $x \in W \subseteq U$ . This implies that  $f(x) \in U$ .

<sup>38</sup> Vol.4. No.2 (2018)

 $f(W) \subseteq f(U)$ . Since f is a  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open mapping, f(W) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open. Hence V = f(W) is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x) and  $V \subseteq f(U)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $U \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  and  $x \in U$ . Then U is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-neighborhood of x. So by (iii), there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood V of f(x) such that  $f(x) \in V \subseteq f(U)$ . That is, f(U) is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of f(x). Thus f(U) is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-neighborhood of each of its points. Therefore f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open. Hence f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Let  $x \in pint_{\gamma^*}(A)$ . Then, there exists  $U \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$  such that  $x \in U$  $\subseteq A$ . So  $f(x) \in f(U) \subseteq f(A)$ . Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open, therefore f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -preopen in Y. Hence  $f(x) \in pint_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . Thus  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $U \in PO_{\gamma^*}(X)$ . Then by (iv),  $f(U) = f(pint_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A)) \subseteq f(U)$  or  $f(U) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(U)) \subseteq f(U)$ . This implies that f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open in Y. So f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Let *B* be any subset of *Y*. Clearly,  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B))$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open in *X*. Also,  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$ . Since *f* is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open and by (iv),  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(B)$ . Therefore  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(B))$ . This gives  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(B))$ .

 $(v) \Rightarrow (iv).$  Let  $A \subseteq X$ . By (v), it is found that  $pint_{\gamma^*}(A) \subseteq pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(f(A)))$  $\subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(f(A))).$  This implies that  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq f(pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(f(A)))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(f(A)))) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A)).$  Consequently,  $f(pint_{\gamma^*}(A)) \subseteq pint_{\beta^*}(f(A)),$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ .

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi). Let *B* be any subset of *Y*. By (v),  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(Y-B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(Y-B))$ . Then  $pint_{\gamma^*}(X-f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(Y-B))$ . As  $pint_{\beta^*}(B) = Y - pcl_{\beta^*}(Y-B)$ , therefore  $X - pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - pcl_{\beta^*}(B))$  or  $X - pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq X - f^{-1}(pcl_{\beta^*}(B))$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(pcl_{\beta^*}(B)) \subseteq pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B))$ .

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Let  $B \subseteq Y$ . By (vi),  $f^{-1}(pcl_{\beta^*}(Y-B)) \subseteq pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(Y-B))$ . Then, we have that  $X - pcl_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(Y-B)) \subseteq X - f^{-1}(pcl_{\beta^*}(Y-B))$ . Hence  $X - pcl_{\gamma^*}(X - f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Y - pcl_{\beta^*}(Y-B))$ . This gives  $pint_{\gamma^*}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(pint_{\beta^*}(B))$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  be two mappings such that the composite mapping  $gof: X \to Z$  be a  $(\gamma^*, \rho^*)$ -pre-continuous.

(i) If g is  $(\beta^*, \rho^*)$ -pre-open injection, then f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous;

(ii) If f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-open surjection, then g is  $(\beta^*, \rho^*)$ -pre-continuous.

**Proof.** Follows from the Definition 4.1.

**Definition 4.2.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -prehomeomorphism, if f is bijective,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous and  $f^{-1}$  is  $(\beta^*, \gamma^*)$ -precontinuous.

**Remark 4.2.** From the Definitions 4.1 and 4.2, every bijective,  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -precontinuous and  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed map is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-homeomorphism.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-homeomorphism. If X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then Y is  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**Proof.** Let {*y*} be a singleton set of *Y*. Then, there exists a point *x* of *X* such that y = f(x). It follows from the assumption and Theorem 5.5[10] that {*x*} is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open or  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed. By Theorem 3.7(vi), {*y*} is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open or  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed. This implies that *Y* is a  $\beta^*$ -pre-*T*<sup>±</sup> space.

**Theorem 4.8.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed if and only if  $pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A)) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ , for every subset *A* of *X*.

**Proof.** Suppose f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -preclosed, therefore  $f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$  is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed in Y. Since  $f(A) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ , therefore  $pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A)) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ . Conversely, suppose A is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed set in X. By hypothesis,  $f(A) \subseteq pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A)) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A)) = f(A)$ . Hence f(A) = $pcl_{\beta^*}(f(A))$ . Thus f(A) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed set in Y. This proves that f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -preclosed.

**Theorem 4.9.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed if and only if  $cl_{\beta}(int_{\beta}(f(A))) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ , for every subset *A* of *X*.

**Proof.** Suppose f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$  is  $\beta^*$ pre-closed in Y. This implies that  $cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A)))) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ . Then  $cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(A))) \subseteq cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))))$  gives  $cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(A))) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ . Conversely, suppose that A is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed set in X. Then by hypothesis,  $cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(A))) \subseteq f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A))$ . Since A is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed,  $f(pcl_{\gamma^*}(A)) = f(A)$ . Therefore  $cl_\beta(int_\beta(f(A))) \subseteq f(A)$ . Hence f(A) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed in Y. This implies that f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed.

**Theorem 4.10.** A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is a  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed if and only if for each subset *B* of *Y* and each  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open set *A* in *X* containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ , there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set *C* in *Y* containing *B* such that  $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq A$ .

**Proof.** Let C = Y - f(X - A). Since  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ ,  $f(X - A) \subseteq Y - B$ . Since f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed, then C is  $\beta^*$ -pre-open and  $f^{-1}(C) = X - f^{-1}(f(X - A)) \subseteq X - (X - A) = A$ . Conversely, let U be any  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed set of X and B = Y - f(U). Then  $f^{-1}(B) = X - f^{-1}(f(U)) \subseteq X - U$  and X - U is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open. By the hypothesis, there exists a  $\beta^*$ -pre-open set C of Y containing B such that  $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq Z$ .

<sup>40 |</sup> Vol.4. No.2 (2018)

X - U. Then  $f^{-1}(C) \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $C \cap f(U) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $Y - f(U) \supseteq C \supseteq B = Y - f(U)$  and f(U) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed in Y. This shows that f is  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a bijective mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *f* is (γ\*, β\*)-pre-closed;
 (ii) *f* is (γ\*, β\*)-pre-open;
 (iii) *f*<sup>-1</sup> is (β\*, γ\*)-pre-continuous.

**Proof.** Follows from the Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.5(vi).

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $id: \tau \to P(X)$  be the identity operation. A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be  $(id, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed if for any pre-closed set F of X, f(F) is  $\beta^*$ -pre-closed in Y.

**Theorem 4.12.** If *f* is bijective mapping and  $f^{-1}$ :  $Y \to X$  is  $(id, \beta^*)$ -precontinuous, then *f* is  $(id, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed.

**Proof.** Follows from the Definitions 3.1, 4.1 and 4.3.

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous and  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed. Then

(i) for every  $\gamma^*$ -pg.closed set A of X, the image f(A) is  $\beta^*$ -pg.closed; (ii) for every  $\beta^*$ -pg.closed set B of Y, the set  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pg.closed.

**Proof.** Follows from the Theorems 2.1[10], 2.9(iii)[10], 3.7(v) and (vi).

**Theorem 4.14.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-continuous and  $(\gamma^*, \beta^*)$ -pre-closed.

(i) If f is injective and Y is  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;

(ii) If f is surjective and X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then Y is  $\beta^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the Theorem 4.13(i) and (ii).

**Theorem 4.15.** Suppose  $\gamma$  is a regular operation on  $\tau$ . Then X is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

space.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.9[7], we have that  $(X, \tau_{\gamma})$  is a topological space. Now to prove X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , it is enough to show that  $\{x\}$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open or  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed.

Case (i): Suppose  $\{x\} \in \tau_{\gamma}$ . Then by Theorem 2.2[10],  $\{x\}$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open.

Case(ii): Suppose  $\{x\} \notin \tau_{\gamma}$ . Then  $cl_{\gamma}(int_{\gamma}(\{x\})) = cl_{\gamma}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \subseteq \{x\}$ . Hence  $\{x\}$  is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed.

**Theorem 4.16.** Let *X* be a  $\gamma$ -regular space and  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  be a regular operation on  $\tau$ . Then *X* is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  if and only if  $(X, \tau_{\gamma})$  is pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.9[7], we have that  $(X, \tau_{\gamma})$  is a topological space. By Theorem 2.27[4], it is a pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space. Conversely, if  $(X, \tau_{\gamma})$  is pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $\{x\}$  is pre-open or pre-closed in X. Hence it is  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open or  $\gamma^*$ -pre-closed in X and by Theorem 5.5[10], we have that X is a  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  space.

**Theorem 4.17.** Let X be a  $\gamma$ -regular space and  $\gamma: \tau \to P(X)$  be a regular operation on  $\tau$ . Then X is  $\gamma^*$ -pre- $T_2$  if and only if X is pre- $T_2$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the Theorem 2.3[10].

## REFERENCES

- 1) D. Andrijevic, (1986), Semi pre open sets, *Mate. Vesnik*, 38, 24-32.
- 2) D. Andrijevic, (1987), On the topology generated by preopen sets, *Mate. Vesnik*, 39, 367-376.
- 3) S. Kasahara, (1979), Operation-compact spaces, Math. Japonica, 24, 97-105.
- 4) H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, (1996), Every topological space is pre-T<sub>1/2</sub> space, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. (Math)*, 17, 33-42.
- 5) H. Maki, H. Ogata, K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and R. Devi, (2000), The digital line and operation approaches of  $T_{1/2}$  space, *Scientiae Math.*, 3 (2000), 345-352.
- 6) A. S. Mashhour, M. S. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deep, (1982), On precontinuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, *Proc., Math., Phys., Soc., Egypt,* 53 (1982), 47-53.
- 7) H. Ogata, (1991), Operation on topological spaces and associated topology, *Math. Japonica*, 36, 175-184.
- 8) H. Ogata, (1991), Remarks on some operation-separation axioms, *Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III*, 40, 41-43.
- 9) G. Sai Sundara Krishnan and K. Balachandran, (2006), On a class of  $\gamma$ -preopen sets in a topological space, *East Asian Math. J.* 22 (2006), 131-149.
- 10) G. Sai Sundara Krishnan, D. Saravanakumar, M. Ganster and K. Balachandran, (2014), On a class of  $\gamma^*$ -pre-open sets in topological spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 54, 173-188.
- 11) D. Saravanakumar, M. Ganster, N. Kalaivani and G. Sai Sundara Krishnan, (2015), On ( $\gamma^*$ ,  $\beta^*$ )-almost-pre-continuous mappings in topological spaces, *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.*, 15, 180-189.
- 12) D. Saravanakumar and G. Sai Sundara Krishnan, (2012), Operation generalized mappings associated with  $\gamma^*_p$ -normal spaces and  $\gamma^*_p$ -regular spaces, *Proc. Jang. Math. Soc.*, 15, 423-435.