REPLENISHMENT COST OF A STORAGE SYSTEM MODELLED THROUGH A DEFECTIVE RENEWAL EQUATION

Prof. **Manuel Alberto M. Ferreira**

Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), BRU-IUL, ISTAR-IUL, Lisboa, PORTUGAL

E-mail: manuel.ferreira@iscte.pt

ABSTRACT

A storage system where a k units stock is replaced instantaneously, when required, is presented in this work. It is also supposed a Poisson demand. It is proved that the storage system replenishment cost expected present value function fulfills a defective renewal equation, being proposed for it an asymptotic expression.

Keywords: Stochastic inventory theory, present value function, renewal equation

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60K05

INTRODUCTION

For a certain item suppose that demand follows a Poisson distribution with parameter μ :

$$
P[\text{Demand up to time } t = x] = \frac{e^{-\mu t} (\mu t)^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1, \dots; t > 0.
$$

So the time length availability of a *k* units stock, call it *X*, is distributed in accordance with a gamma probability distribution:

$$
F(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy; \ f(x) = \frac{\mu^k x^{k-1} e^{-\mu x}}{(k-1)!}, x > 0 \tag{1.1}
$$

with Laplace transform

$$
\phi^k(s) = \left(\frac{\mu}{s+\mu}\right)^k, s > -\mu \tag{1.2}
$$

Suppose the following protocol for the storage system. At time $t = 0$ there are *k* units available in stock. Every time the system goes out of the stock, an amount of *k* units is instantaneously replaced.

The system will be evaluated through the stochastic process $W_k(t)$ and the random variable $V_k(t)$:

$$
W_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} \theta_k \prod_{m=1}^n e^{-rX_m}, W_k(t) = 0 \text{ if } N(t) = 0
$$
\n
$$
V_k = W_k(\infty) \text{ (1.4)}
$$
\n(1.3)

where $W_k(t)$ is the stock replenishment cost present value, up to time t , when a payment of θ_k is made each time k units are replaced. The parameter $r,r > 0$ represents a deterministic discount interest rate. The collection $X_1, X_2, ...$ is a sequence of *iid* random variables with the same distribution as *X*. They represent the time intervals between successive stock replacements. The associated counting process $N(t)$ is defined as:

$$
N(t) = \sup\{n: \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le t\}, N(t) = 0 \text{ if } X_1 > t
$$

and V_k is the storage system perpetual cost. A particular attention will be given to (1.3) and (1.4) expected values:

$$
w_k(t) = E[W_k(t)]; \ v_k = E[V_k]
$$
\n(1.5)

Notes:

- Alternatively, instead of θ_k , the cost of *k* units, a time dependent value with exponential growth: $\theta_k = \theta_k(t) = \tilde{\theta}_k e^{rt}$ can be considered. In this case, the present value process of the stock replenishment cost would assume the form

$$
W_k(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} \tilde{\theta}_k \prod_{m=1}^n e^{-(r-\tilde{r})X_m}, W_k(t) = 0 \text{ if } N(t) = 0.
$$

With this assumption the analysis performed for (1.3) and (1.4) still stands for $\tilde{r} < r$, being enough to replace *r* by $(r - \tilde{r})$ in the above formulas.

- It is possible to consider θ_k as the acquisition and commercialization of *k* items global result. For instance $\theta_k = bk - a$ where *a* is the fixed cost associated to any operation concerning the stocks reposition and *b* the unitary margin associated with buying and selling one item. Evidently, $b > \frac{a}{b}$ $\frac{a}{k}$.

RESULTS

Proposition 2.1

$$
v_k = \frac{\theta_k \phi^k(r)}{1 - \phi^k(r)}\tag{2.1}
$$

Dem.: Note that V_k is a random perpetuity, see[8] and [10]. So it may be written as the solution of the random equation V_k \boldsymbol{d} $= e^{-rX} (\theta_k + V_k)$, X and V_k

independent, being the identity in probability distribution. Applying expectations in this equation both sides it results (2.1).∎

Proposition 2.2

The expected value function $w_k(t)$ satisfies the defective renewal equation¹

$$
w_k(t) = \theta_k \phi^k(r) F(t) + \int_0^t w_k(t-s) \phi^k(r) f(s) ds
$$
 (2.2).

Dem.: Conditioning to *N(t)* and applying the expectation tower property,

$$
w_k(t) = E\big[E[W_k(t)|N(t)]\big] = \theta_k \phi^k(r) \frac{1 - \gamma(t, \phi^k(r))}{1 - \phi^k(r)}
$$
(2.3),

where $\gamma(t, s)$ is the $N(t)$ probability generating function. Call F^{*n} the *n*-fold convolution of *F* with itself and assume $F^{*0} = 1$ for all $t \ge 0$. Then

$$
\gamma(t,s) = E[s^{N(t)}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n (F^{*n}(t) - F^{*(n+1)}(t)) = 1 - (1 - s_n) = 0
$$

Substituting in (2.3): $w_k(t) = \theta_k \phi^k(r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{k(n-1)}(r) F^{*n}(t)$ and applying Laplace transforms in this equality both sides,

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-st} w_k(t) dt = \frac{\theta_k \phi^k(r) \phi^k(s)}{s(1 - \phi^k(r) \phi^k(s))}
$$
\n(2.4)

which after rearranging and inversion returns (2.2).∎

Defining $J(t) = w_k(\infty) - w_k(t)$, and noting that $w_k(\infty) = v_k$, let $j(t) =$ $\theta_k \phi^k(r)$ $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} (1 - F(t))$. Consider also the positive constant ρ that satisfies $\int_0^\infty e^{\rho s} \phi^k(r) f(s) ds =$ $\int_0^\infty e^{\rho s} \phi^k(r) f(s) ds = \phi^k(r) \phi^k(-\rho) = 1$. That is $\rho = \frac{r\mu}{r+1}$ $\frac{r\mu}{r+\mu}$, recalling (1.2).

Proposition 2.3

For the function $e^{\rho t}J(t)$ the following asymptotic expansion is true:

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\rho t} J(t) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_0^\infty e^{\rho s} j(s) ds \tag{2.5}
$$

$$
\mu_0 = \int_0^\infty s e^{\rho s} \phi^k(r) f(s) ds \tag{2.6}
$$

Dem.: Computing $I(t)$ from (2.2) and multiplying the obtained equation both members by $e^{\rho t}$, the following common renewal equation is achieved:

 1 See [9]

 $\ddot{}$

$$
e^{\rho t}J(t)=e^{\rho t}j(t)+\int_0^t e^{\rho(t-s)}J(t-s)e^{\rho s}\phi^k(r)f(s)ds.
$$

This proposition is a consequence of the key renewal theorem, see [9] and [1], application to this equation.■

Notes:

- **-** The conclusions in the former propositions remain true with eventually minor adaptations - for a lot of *X* continuous probability distributions, if they have at least the nonnegative reals set as support.
- **-** To specify those results for the special case of the initially defined gamma distribution, make repeated use of the Laplace transform (1.2) and note that the (2.5) right side can be written as: $\frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_0^\infty e^{\rho s} j(s) ds = \frac{1}{\frac{k(r+1)^2}{2}}$ $k(r+\mu)$ μ^2 $\frac{\theta_k(r+\mu)}{m}$ $\frac{(r+\mu)}{r\mu} = \frac{\theta_k \mu}{kr}$ kr *∞* $\int_0^\infty e^{\rho s} j(s) ds = \frac{1}{k(r+\mu)} \cdot \frac{\partial_k(r+\mu)}{r\mu} = \frac{\partial_k \mu}{kr}.$

Using this result, Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 can be summarized as

$$
v_k = \frac{\theta_k}{\alpha^k - 1}, \alpha = \frac{r}{\mu} + 1
$$
\n(2.7),

$$
w_k(t) \approx \frac{\theta_k}{\alpha^k - 1} - \frac{\theta_k}{k(\alpha - 1)} e^{-\frac{r}{\alpha}t}
$$
 (2.8).

- The approximation in (2.8) must be faced with the same meaning as in (2.7) . For more detail, in these results, see $[2]$.

EXAMPLES

Example 3.1

Consider the case $k = 1$. Then (2.8) is $w_1(t) = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_1}$ $\frac{\theta_1}{\alpha-1}\Big(1-e^{-\frac{r}{\alpha}}$ $\frac{1}{\alpha}$, The right side Laplace transform is $\int_0^\infty e^{-st} w_1(t) dt = \frac{\theta_1 r}{s(s\alpha+r)}$ $s(s\alpha+r)(\alpha-1)$ *∞* $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} w_1(t) dt = \frac{\sigma_1}{s(s\alpha+r)(\alpha-1)}$. Calculating the (2.4) right side the same result is got. Indeed, in this case, the given expression is exact.∎

Example 3.2

Now consider, as suggested above, $\theta_k = bk - a$. For the particular situation: $\mu = a = b = 1$ and $r = 0.02$, (2.7) is $v_k = \frac{k-1}{1.02k}$ $\frac{k-1}{1.02^k-1}$. The most interesting value for *k* is so max_k { v_k } = 10. In this situation it is obtained: $w_{10}(t) \approx \frac{9}{1.021}$ $\frac{9}{1.02^{10}-1}$ – 45 $e^{-\frac{t}{101}}$.

Table 3.1

In Table 3.1 some values for this function are presented.∎

REFERENCES

- 1) H.C. Tijms. Stochastic Models: An Algorithmic Approach. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.1994.
- 2) J. Figueira, M. A. M. Ferreira. Maintenance Costs of Non-Autonomous Pensions Funds: An Evaluation via a Regeneration Scheme of Diffusion Processes. Proceedings of the 5th International Congress on Insurance and Mathematics. Pennsylvania State University.2001.
- 3) J. Figueira, M. A. M. Ferreira. A Note on the Evaluation of a Storage System using an Elementary Renewal Equation. Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference APLIMAT 2004. Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava. 2004
- 4) M. A. M. Ferreira. Non-autonomous Pensions Funds Maintenance Costs Study Through a Diffusion Process. International Journal of Academic Research Part A, 4(6), 51-56. 2012. DOI: 10.7813/2075-4124.2012/4- 6/A.7.
- 5) M. A. M. Ferreira, J. A. Filipe. Costs Study through a Diffusion Process of Pensions Funds Held with an Outside Financing Effort. International Mathematical Forum, 8 (28), 1359-1368.2013. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.12988/imf.2013.36113
- 6) M. A. M. Ferreira, M. Andrade, J. A. Filipe. Studying Pensions Funds Through an Infinite Servers Nodes Network: a Theoretical Problem. SPMCS 2012, JPCS-Journal of Physics: Conference Series 394 (2012) 012035, IOP Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/394/ 1/012035.
- 7) M. A. M. Ferreira, M. Andrade, J. A. Filipe, M. P. Coelho. Statistical queuing theory with some applications, International Journal of Latest Trends in Finance and Economic Sciences, 1(4), 190-195. 2011.
- 8) P. Embrechts, C. M. Goldie. Perpetuities and Random Equations. Asymptotic Statistics: Proceedings of the 5th Prague Symposium, September 1993 (Petr Mandl, Marie Huskova, eds.). Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 75-86.
- 9) W. Feller. An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications (vol. 2, 2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York.1971.
- 10) W. Vervaat. On a Stochastic Difference Equation and a Representation of Non Negative Infinitely Divisible Random Variables. Advances in Applied Probability, 11, 750-783.1979.